

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/825,504		04/15/2004	Volker Derflinger	35523US1	8570
116	7590	03/24/2006		EXAMINER	
PEARNE (& GORD	ON LLP	TURNER, ARCHENE A		
1801 EAST	9TH STR	EET			
SUITE 1200)		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CLEVELA	ND, OH	44114-3108	1775		

DATE:MAILED: 03/24/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•		1
cation No.	Applicant(s)		~ i
5,504	DERFLINGER ET	AL.	
iner	Art Unit		\dashv
ne Turner	1775	•	
the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	Idress	
T TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(THIS COMMUNICATION to event, however, may a reply be tim	١. َ	80) DAYS,	
nd will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a application to become ABANDONEI is communication, even if timely filed	D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ommunication.	
<u>4</u> .			
is non-final.	·		
ept for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is	
Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.		
	•		
•	•		
consideration.			
consideration.		•	
	•		
		•	
on requirement.			
		•	
•			
r b) objected to by the E	xaminer.	•	
(s) be held in abeyance. See			
quired if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 Cl	FR 1.121(d).	
. Note the attached Office	Action or form P1	ГО-152.	
under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f)		
under 55 0.5.0. 8 113(a)	-(a) or (i).		
peen received.			
peen received in Application	on No		
uments have been receive	d in this National	Stage	
Rule 17.2(a)).			
ertified copies not receive	d.		
			`
_			
4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)		

	Application No. Applicant(s)							
	10/825,504	DERFLINGER ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Archene Turner	1775						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Ap	oril 2004							
	action is non-final.	•						
<i>/</i>		nsecution as to the merits is						
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
	A parte Quayre, 1000 C.D. 11, 4							
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) 16-24 is/are withdraw	n from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.	Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		·						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.	•						
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	. '							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
2) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
See the attached detailed Office action for a list (or the certified copies not receive	ea.						
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date								
Notice of Draitsperson's Patient Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date								

Application/Control Number: 10/825,504 Page 2

Art Unit: 1775

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-15, drawn to a product, classified in class 428, subclass 698.
- II. Claims 16-24, drawn to a method of making, classified in class 204, subclass 192+
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product can be made by CVD.

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their divergent subject matter, different classification and search, a restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

4. During a telephone conversation with Mr. Spencer on 2/27/06 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-15.

Application/Control Number: 10/825,504

Art Unit: 1775

Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action.

Page 3

Claims 16-24 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37

CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

- 5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 6. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

7. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Support for y being 0.2- 0.45 could not be found. Also support could not be found for X being N, C, B, CN, BN, CBN, because O seems to always included in X.

Application/Control Number: 10/825,504 Page 4

Art Unit: 1775

8. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

9. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

A broad range or limitation together with a narrow range or limitation that falls within the broad range or limitation (in the same claim) is considered indefinite. since the resulting claim does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired. See MPEP § 2173.05(c). Note the explanation given by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences in Ex parte Wu, 10 USPQ2d 2031, 2033 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989), as to where broad language is followed by "such as" and then narrow language. The Board stated that this can render a claim indefinite by raising a question or doubt as to whether the feature introduced by such language is (a) merely exemplary of the remainder of the claim, and therefore not required, or (b) a required feature of the claims. Note also, for example, the decisions of Ex parte Steigewald, 131 USPQ 74 (Bd. App. 1961); Ex parte Hall, 83 USPQ 38 (Bd. App. 1948); and Ex parte Hasche, 86 USPQ 481 (Bd. App. 1949). In the present instance, claim 1 recites the broad recitation a work piece, and the claim also recites specific types of work pieces. which is the narrower statement of the range/limitation.

Art Unit: 1775

10. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The subscript x and Me is not described, rendering the claim indefinite.

11. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 12. Claims 1,4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kobe Steel Ltd (JP 09-041127) or Yamaguchi Pref Gov (JP 10-025566).

The reference discloses the work piece having the claimed coating thereon.

13. Claims 1,4,5,7,8,10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kunisch et al ("Thermodynamic modeling... by PVD).

The reference discloses the work piece having the claimed coating thereon.

14. Claims 1,7,8,10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kawate ("Microhardned..films")

Application/Control Number: 10/825,504

Art Unit: 1775

The reference discloses the work piece having the claimed coating thereon.

15. Claims 2,9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sanchette et al ("Mechnically..alloy coatings")

The reference discloses the work piece having the claimed coating thereon.

16. Claims 1,8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ide et al "Formation. sputtering method")).

The reference discloses the work piece having the claimed coating thereon.

17. Claims 1,9,10, 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Vetter et al ("(Cr:Al)N coatings...arc evaporation").

The reference discloses the work piece having the claimed coating thereon.

18. Claims 1-5, 7-10,14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Derflinger et al (6,827,976)

The reference discloses the work piece having the claimed coating thereon.

19. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kubota et al (6,790,543) or Ishikawa et al (6,586,122).

The reference discloses the work piece having the claimed coating thereon.

Application/Control Number: 10/825,504 Page 7

Art Unit: 1775

20. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Aharonov et al (6,274,257) OR Masumoto (JP 06-322517).

The reference discloses the work piece having the claimed coating thereon.

21. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Archene Turner whose new telephone number is (571) 272-1545. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Wednesday through Friday from 10:30 am. to 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jennifer McNeil can be reached on (571) 272-1540. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306. Please remember to include on the fax, the art unit 1775, serial number and Examiner's name.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

archeneturner Primary examiner